INSTRUMENTAL or **OPERANT** CONDITIONING

cats in puzzle box (Thorndike, 1898)

- trial and error; incremental learning

<u>Law of Effect</u> - response is automatically strengthened when followed by reinforcement ("satisfying state of affairs"); automatically weakened when followed by punishment ("annoying state of affairs")







Operant conditioning vs. classical conditioning:

- operant cond. reinforcement <u>depends</u> on response; class. cond. - reinforcement (US) comes regardless
- operant response is <u>emitted</u> and voluntary;
- classical cond. response is <u>elicited</u> and involuntary
- <u>What is learned?</u>
- in operant cond. a BEHAVIOR
- in classical cond. a SIGNAL (CS-->US)
- <u>Through what mechanism?</u>
- operant: Law of Effect: CONSEQUENCES
- (but delay of reinforcement weakens response!)
- classical: CONTIGUITY... so far!
- "conditioning", because changing the <u>conditions</u> changes response frequency; not under conscious
 - control even though voluntary!

B.F. SKINNER - "Skinner box":

- many responses
- little time and effort
- easily recorded
- **RESPONSE RATE is the Dependent Variable**





REINFORCEMENT AND PUNISHMENT

<u>REINFORCEMENT</u> (both pos. and neg.) <u>always increases</u> rate of responding

- **positive** reinforcement <u>delivers</u> <u>appetitive</u> stimulus (food, approval);
- **negative** reinforcement <u>removes</u> <u>aversive</u> stimulus (shock, alarm clock noise)

PUNISHMENT decreases rate of responding

w/ NO reinforcement: extinction and spontaneous recovery happen just as in classical conditioning

	Increases	Decreases
	Behavior	Behavior
Present	Positive	Positive
Stimulus	Reinforcement	Punishment
Remove	Negative	Negative
Stimulus	Reinforcement	Punishment





parall	el to classical:					
instea instea instea	instead of CR there's operant response instead of US, reinforcement instead of CS, discriminative stimulus					
but o	rder changes:					
	CLASSICAL: stim (CS)	reinf (US)	resp (CR)			
	OPERANT: stim	<u>resp!</u>	<u>reinf!</u>			



partial reinforcement effect:

reinforcing ONLY SOME TRIALS produces even STRONGER response than reinforcing ALL TRIALS; but what does <u>some</u> mean?..

SCHEDULES OF REINFORCEMENT:

- describe as interval, ratio, fixed, variable
- <u>continuous</u> reinforcement (CR) = <u>all</u> responses get reinforced







-"<u>fixed</u> interval" (FI) - time is fixed; rat gets food pellet for next bar press, say, 30 seconds after last pellet (ex: checking mail, delivered daily)

-"<u>variable</u> interval" (VI) - time is average; rat gets food pellet for next bar press 20, 40, 25, 35 seconds after last pellet, etc. -30 seconds on average (ex: checking e-mail, delivered whenever) <u>ratio</u> schedule - reinforcement after some **number of responses** (<u>ratio</u> of responses to reinforcements)

-"<u>fixed</u> ratio" (FR) - ratio is fixed; rat gets food pellet for every 10th bar press (ex: factory piecework)

-"<u>variable</u> ratio" (VR) - ratio is average; rat gets food pellet after 8, 12, 5, 15 responses - 10th response on average (ex: gambling)



shaping - differential reinforcement of successive approximations to desired response

- can produce a response the animal would never have made spontaneously on its own

chaining - linking responses into long sequence allows training of very complex behaviors